organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Cong Li, Kannie Wai Yan Chan and Lap Szeto*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokíulam Road, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: lszeto@hkucc.hku.hk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.057 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

N,N'-Bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)thiourea

The title compound, $C_{11}H_{20}O_4N_2S$, has a planar skeleton and its crystal structure is stabilized by extensive intermolecular $(N-H\cdots S)$ and intramolecular $(N-H\cdots O \text{ and } C-H\cdots O)$ hydrogen-bonding interactions. Received 3 January 2003 Accepted 14 February 2003 Online 28 February 2003

Comment

The positively charged residue of arginine (Arg), *i.e.* the guanidinium residue, having a pK_a of 12.5, is perceived to bind anions such as phosphate and carboxylate in simulated physiological conditions. This demonstrates the ability of guanidinium to hydrolyse phosphodiesters in DNA and RNA (Aït-Haddou *et al.*, 2002; Wilcox, 1996), or to selectively signal specific amino acids through co-operative chelation with metals (Aït-Haddou *et al.*, 2001; Severin *et al.*, 1998). Guanidines can be prepared by the reaction of an amine with various guanylating agents.



Recently, N,N'-bis(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)thiourea, (I) (Kim & Qian, 1993), was not only proved to be an efficient guanylating agent for the general primary and unhindered secondary amines in high yields, but also to show similar activity towards hindered or unreactive amines in the presence of catalysts such as mercury salts or Mukaiyama's reagent (Yong *et al.*, 1997; Expósito *et al.*, 2001). With this background, we report the structure (I).

The basic skeleton of (I) has a planar conformation (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The presence of an intramolecular hydrogen bond N1–H···O4 (Table 2) results in the compression of the N1–C6–N2 angle from 120° to 113.2 (2)°, resulting in an S1–C6–N2 bond angle of 119.5 (2)°. The widening of the S1–C6–N1 angle from the normal 120° to 127.3 (2)° is due to the presence of an interaction between atoms S1 and O2 [3.05 (2) Å]. This also leads to a large deviation [167.5 (2)°] of the O1/C5/O2 least-squares plane from the hydrogen-bonded six-membered ring, O4–C11–N2–C6–N1–H1N; the deviation of the latter plane from O3/C11/C4 is 175.8 (2)°.

This planar conformation is further stabilized by intermolecular $N-H\cdots S$ bonds (Fig. 2 and Table 2). It is interesting to note that, due to the staggered arrangement of the *tert*-butyl methyl groups with respect to the carboxylate groups, one of the O-C-C angles of each substituent is much

 \odot 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Z = 2

 $D_r = 1.184 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 31 reflections $\theta = 1.1 - 27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KBlock, colourless $0.39 \times 0.15 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

3344 independent reflections

2188 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0005(F_o)^2]$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 7$

 $k = -13 \rightarrow 14$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$



Figure 1

An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of the molecule (50% probability ellipsoids), showing the crystallographic labelling scheme.



Figure 2

Packing diagram of (I) in the unit cell. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

smaller than the other two. Thus, the O1-C4-C3 angle is only 101.8 (2)° and, similarly, the O3-C10-C7 angle is 102.0 (2)°. Furthermore, the C···O distances fall in the range 2.962 (3)–3.117 (4) Å and are indicative of weak C-H···O intramolecular contacts involving the carbonyl O atoms (Table 2).

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared according to the method of Expósito et al. (2001). To a stirred solution of thiourea (571 mg, 7.50 mmol) in anhydrous THF (150 ml) under argon in an ice bath was added sodium hydride (1.35 g, 33,8 mmol, 60% in mineral oil). After 5 min, di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (3.60 g, 16.5 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Then the reaction was quenched with NaHCO₃ aqueous solution (15 ml). The reaction mixture was poured into 250 ml water and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 70 ml). The organic phase was dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give (I) as a white solid with a stimulating smell (1.72 g, 83%). Crystals suitable for X-ray data collection were obtained by slow evaporation of an EtOAc solution of the compound held at room temperature.

Crystal data

$C_{11}H_{20}N_2O_4S$
$M_r = 276.35$
riclinic, P1
a = 6.171 (2) Å
e = 11.334 (3) Å
= 11.653 (3) Å
$u = 81.25 (1)^{\circ}$
$B = 87.31 (1)^{\circ}$
$v = 74.27 (1)^{\circ}$
V = 775.4 (4) Å ³

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD areadetector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 1997) $T_{\min} = 0.960, \ T_{\max} = 0.984$ 4811 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.045wR = 0.057S = 1.572188 reflections 163 parameters

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-C6	1.637 (2)	N2-C6	1.380 (3)
O1-C4	1.487 (3)	N2-C11	1.383 (3)
O1-C5	1.337 (3)	C1-C4	1.508 (3)
O2-C5	1.179 (3)	C2-C4	1.500 (4)
O3-C10	1.477 (3)	C3-C4	1.508 (4)
O3-C11	1.323 (3)	C7-C10	1.514 (4)
O4-C11	1.203 (3)	C8-C10	1.505 (4)
N1-C5	1.402 (3)	C9-C10	1.495 (4)
N1-C6	1.364 (3)		
C4-O1-C5	121.1 (2)	S1-C6-N1	127.3 (2)
C10-O3-C11	121.9 (2)	S1-C6-N2	119.5 (2)
C5-N1-C6	127.5 (2)	N1-C6-N2	113.2 (2)
C6-N2-C11	129.4 (2)	O3-C10-C7	102.0 (2)
O1-C4-C1	110.6 (2)	O3-C10-C8	109.4 (2)
O1-C4-C2	108.7 (2)	O3-C10-C9	109.6 (2)
O1-C4-C3	101.8 (2)	C7-C10-C8	111.6 (2)
C1-C4-C2	113.1 (2)	C7-C10-C9	110.4 (2)
C1-C4-C3	110.2 (2)	C8-C10-C9	113.2 (3)
C2-C4-C3	111.8 (2)	O3-C11-O4	127.0 (2)
O1-C5-O2	128.2 (2)	O3-C11-N2	107.9 (2)
O1-C5-N1	105.3 (2)	O4-C11-N2	125.0 (2)
O2-C5-N1	126.5 (2)		

Table 2 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N1-H1N···O4	0.87	1.96	2.658 (3)	137
$N2-H2N\cdots S1^{i}$	0.87	2.56	3.395 (2)	162
$C1 - H1A \cdots O2$	0.95	2.37	2.980 (4)	122
$C2-H2C\cdots O2$	0.95	2.54	3.117 (4)	119
$C8 - H8B \cdots O4$	0.95	2.51	3.093 (5)	120
C9−H9 <i>B</i> ···O4	0.95	2.35	2.962 (3)	122

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

All H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and refined as riding on their parent atoms.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure corporation, 1992); molecular graphics: *TEXSAN*; software used to prepare material for publication: *TEXSAN*.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council and acknowledge the receipt of a Postgraduate Studentship administered by The University of Hong Kong.

References

Aït-Haddou, H., Sumaoka, J., Wiskur, S. L., Folmer-Andersen, J. F. & Anslyn, E. V. (2002). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **41**, 4014–4016.

- Aït-Haddou, H., Wiskur, S. L., Lynch, V. M. & Anslyn, E. V. (2001). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 11296–11297.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Bruker (1997). SADABS. Version 2.01. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1999). *SMART* (Version 5.054) and *SAINT-Plus* (Version 6.01). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Expósito, A., Fernández-Suárez, M., Iglesias, T., Muñoz, L. & Riguera, R. (2001). J. Org. Chem. 66, 4260–4213.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kim, K. S. & Qian, L. G. (1993). Tetrahedron Lett. 34, 7677-7680.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992). *TEXSAN*. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Severin, K., Bergs, R. & Beck, W. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1634–1654. Wilcox, D. E. (1996). Chem. Rev. 96, 2435–2458.
- Yong, Y. F., Kowaiski, J. A. & Lipton, M. A. (1997). J. Org. Chem. 62, 1540–

1542.

0368 Li, Chan and Szeto $\cdot C_{11}H_{20}N_2O_4S$